National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases



Goals, Study Design Considerations and Implementation:

Establishing and moving toward the goals (Lyme disease)

Lars Eisen

Integrated Tick Management Symposium

17 May, 2016

Ultimate goals

Reduce human bites by vector ticks

 Reduce the burden of Lyme disease and other tick-borne diseases

Chain of events for a Lyme disease case to occur

Host-seeking infected tick



Bite by infected tick



Tick feeding long enough to transmit spirochetes



If you remove a tick quickly (within 24 hr) you can greatly reduce your chances of getting Lyme disease.

Lyme disease case

Risk measures to predict Lyme disease cases

Density of host-seeking infected ticks

No. known bites by infected vector ticks

No. known bites by infected vector ticks (>48 hr)

Lyme disease incidence

Human behavior / Personal protection

Tick detection and removal

Transmission efficiency

Personal protective measures and environmentally-based tick/pathogen control methods

PERSONAL	LANDSCAPE /	KILLING OF	RODENT-	DEER-	
PROTECTIVE	VEGETATION	HOST-SEEKING	TARGETED	TARGETED	
MEASURES	MANAGEMENT	TICKS	APPROACHES	APPROACHES	
Avoid tick habitat	Xeriscaping / Hardscaping	Synthetic chemical acaricide	Topical acaricide	Deer fencing	Black text: Available now
Physically protective clothing	Keep grass short, remove weeds	Natural product- based acaricide	Oral tick growth regulator/acaricide	Deer reduction	Red text: Not yet available
Regular tick checks & Prompt tick removal	Remove leaf litter and brush	Biological fungal acaricide	Oral antibiotic	Topical acaricide	
Synthetic repellent	Remove rodent harborage	Acaricides enhanced by tick arrestment pheromones	Oral Lyme disease vaccine	Oral tick growth regulator/acaricide	
Natural product repellent	Do not use plants that attract deer			Deer anti-tick vaccine (disrupting tick feeding or reproduction)	
Permethrin-treated clothing	Move play structures to low risk areas in the yard			45,000 7	
Antibiotic prophylaxis				33.00	Lyme disease cases, 1995-2014
after tick bite	Ecotone barrier to tick movement			40,000 - Confirm	ed cases
Natural product acaricidal soap/lotion				30,000 - Probabl	e cases*
Human Lyme disease vaccine				25,000 - 20,000 -	
Human anti-tick vaccine				15,000	
(disrupting tick feeding)				10,000 -	
				5,000	

1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014

Development and evaluation of tick-borne disease prevention interventions

INTERVENTION EVALUATIONS

Initial Small-scale Large-scale controlled intervention

Concept

laboratory trials

Can it work?

Small-scale controlled intervention trials¹
Can it work?

Large-scale controlled intervention trials 1
Can it work?
Pragmatic intervention trials 2
Will it work?

Programmatic evaluation³
Does it work?

Recommendations & education

- ¹Controlled intervention trial
- ²Pragmatic intervention trial
- ³Programmatic evaluation

- Optimal execution of the intervention
- Real-world execution of the intervention
- Impact on knowledge, attitudes, behaviors, and disease burden

Example 1: Spray-on repellent

(personal protective measure)



Concept

Initial laboratory trials Can it work? Small-scale controlled intervention trials Can it work? Large-scale controlled intervention trials Can it work? Pragmatic intervention trials Will it work?

INTERVENTION EVALUATIONS

Programmatic evaluation Does it work?

Laboratory trials with *I. scapularis* (synthetic and natural product repellents): Carroll et al. 1989, 2004, 2005, 2007, 2010, 2011; Dietrich et al. 2006; Carroll 2008; Bissinger et al. 2009, 2014; Feaster et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2009; Dolan and Panella 2011; Büchel et al. 2015

Small-scale controlled intervention trials with human-*I. scapularis* contact outcome (synthetic & natural repellents): Schreck et al. 1986, Evans et al. 1990, Schulze et al. 2011, Jordan et al. 2012; typically >90% reduction in tick contacts

Large-scale controlled intervention trials: Still lacking

Pragmatic intervention trials with Lyme disease outcomes (case-control studies or cross-sectional studies): Smith et al. 1988, Schwartz and Goldstein 1990, Klein et al. 1996, Orloski et al. 1998, Armstrong et al. 2001, Phillips et al. 2001, Smith et al. 2001, Vázquez et al. 2008, Connally et al. 2009, Finch et al. 2014; no more than moderate reduction in Lyme disease cases for only 3 of 10 studies

Programmatic evaluation:

- Lyme disease cases have increased despite long-standing recommendations for repellent use to prevent tick bites
- Hook et al. (2015) found that ~25% of respondents routinely use repellent in Lyme disease endemic regions

Example 2: Synthetic pyrethroid to

kill host-seeking ticks

Concept

Initial laboratory trials Can it work? Small-scale controlled intervention trials Can it work? Large-scale controlled intervention trials Can it work? Pragmatic intervention trials Will it work?

INTERVENTION EVALUATIONS

Programmatic evaluation Does it work?

Laboratory trials with *I. scapularis*: Maupin and Piesman 1994; previous trials in the 1980s with several other tick species

Small-scale controlled intervention trials with *I. scapularis* **abundance outcome:** Solberg et al. 1992; Curran et al. 1993; Schulze et al. 2001b, 2005; Rand et al. 2010; Stafford and Allan 2010; Elias et al. 2013; **>85% control of host-seeking** *I. scapularis* **nymphs up to 7 wk regardless of application method, spray pressure, or woodland versus residential setting**

Large-scale controlled intervention trials / Pragmatic intervention trials with tick- and disease-based outcomes: Hinckley et al. 2016; 45-69% reduction of *I. scapularis* nymphs in residential ecotones (from barrier spraying) did not reduce either tick bites or Lyme disease cases

Programmatic evaluation: Hook et al. (2015) found that <10% of respondents currently use yard-based pesticides in Lyme disease endemic regions

Example 3:

Integrated tick /
pathogen management

Concept

Initial laboratory trials Can it work? Small-scale controlled intervention trials Can it work? Large-scale controlled intervention trials Can it work? Pragmatic intervention trials

Will it work?

INTERVENTION EVALUATIONS

Programmatic evaluation Does it work?

Laboratory trials: Based on combinations of single methods already proven in lab trials (if applicable)

Small-scale controlled intervention trials with *I. scapularis* abundance outcome:

- Schulze et al. (2007, 2008); integrated use of barrier spraying with pyrethroid (Yr 1 only) and topical acaricides for rodents (Yrs 1-2 only) and deer (Yrs 1-3); abundance of host-seeking nymphs reduced by 86% in the year after the intervention was put in place and by 86–94% in the two following years
- Additional studies are nearing completion (Mather, Stafford); final results still pending

Large-scale controlled intervention trials / Pragmatic intervention trials with tick- and disease-based outcomes: Still lacking but one study about to start (Ostfeld/Keesing; tentatively fungal acaricide to kill host-seeking ticks combined with rodent-targeted acaricide)

Programmatic evaluation: Not yet applicable

Integrated tick/pathogen management: ITM component options

PERSONAL	LANDSCAPE /	KILLING OF	RODENT-	DEER-
PROTECTIVE	VEGETATION	HOST-SEEKING	TARGETED	TARGETED
MEASURES	MANAGEMENT	TICKS	APPROACHES	APPROACHES
Avoid tick habitat	Xeriscaping / Hardscaping	Synthetic chemical acaricide	Topical acaricide	Deer fencing
Physically protective clothing	Keep grass short, remove weeds	Natural product- based acaricide	Oral tick growth regulator/acaricide	Deer reduction
Regular tick checks & Prompt tick removal	Remove leaf litter and brush	Biological fungal acaricide	Oral antibiotic	Topical acaricide
Synthetic repellent	Remove rodent harborage	Acaricides enhanced by tick arrestment pheromones	Oral Lyme disease vaccine	Oral tick growth regulator/acaricide
Natural product repellent	Do not use plants that attract deer			Deer anti-tick vaccine (disrupting tick feeding or reproduction)
Permethrin-treated clothing	Move play structures to low risk areas in the yard			
Antibiotic prophylaxis after tick bite	Ecotone barrier to tick movement			
Natural product acaricidal soap/lotion				
Human Lyme disease vaccine				
Human anti-tick vaccine (disrupting tick feeding)				

Black text: Available now Red text: Not yet available

Selection of ITM combinations to move forward in pipeline:

- Acceptability
- Cost
- Single household vs
 Neighborhood/Community
- Potential for reducing Lyme disease (hard data, simulation modeling)

Moving forward

- Prioritization of single and integrated prevention/control approaches to move through the development/evaluation pipeline
 - Weak evidence bases for most approaches, single or ITM, even in small scale intervention trials
 - Only very limited numbers of ITM approaches can realistically be evaluated in large scale intervention trials with Lyme disease outcomes
 - Investment in programmatic implementation will be driven by evidence for disease reduction
- Maintaining expertise to conduct intervention evaluation studies
- Design of intervention evaluation studies Alison Hinckley
- Study outcome measures Howie Ginsberg
- Responsible implementation parties / end user engagement Tom Mather
- Finding the funds (order of magnitude increase needed) Ben Beard

With thanks to the "pipeline group"

Ben Beard, Marc Dolan, Rebecca Eisen, Ken Gage, Alison Hinckley, Sarah Hook, Kiersten Kugeler, Paul Mead, Christina Nelson, Anna Perea, and others.......

For more information, contact CDC 1-800-CDC-INFO (232-4636) TTY: 1-888-232-6348 www.cdc.gov

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

